



R6

ECML training and consultancy for member states

Moderator's report

In the three weeks after the event, please complete this report which is intended as a record for the expert team, the ECML secretariat and the programme consultant.
 This report will not be forwarded to the local organisers unless agreed upon otherwise.

Please send this completed form together with the programme of the event within three weeks after the event to Margit.Huber@ecml.at

Internal information

Title of the training and consultancy	Training and consultancy for ECML member states “Teacher education - Using the European Portfolio for Student Teachers of Languages”
Event moderator(s) and number of participants	ECML experts: David Newby; Anne-Brit Fenner, Natalia Orlova Local moderators: Larisa Kasumagic-Kafedžić, Merima Osmankadić, Selma Đuliman 80 participants
Date and venue of the event	13-14 October, 2017, Sarajevo BiH
Type of the event	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> workshop, seminar <input type="checkbox"/> consultancy of experts <input type="checkbox"/> conference contribution <input type="checkbox"/> other: _____
What is the envisaged impact of the event? Which follow-up activities are planned?	All participants expressed their intention to introduce, trial or expand their use of the EPOSTL and in many cases to organise EPOSTL dissemination events. Moreover, Larisa Kasumagic-Kafedžić, who is a participant in the current ECML project “Action

	research communities for language teachers” is incorporating her research on EPOSTL into this project.
Outputs of the event (materials produced, etc.)	In the final session devoted to ‘Action Planning’, each group of participants produced a poster outlining their intended follow-up activities.
Has the intervention fulfilled the envisaged purpose from your point of view as a moderator of the event?	<p>X <input type="checkbox"/> It fulfilled the purpose.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> It fulfilled the purpose to a significant extent.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> It did not fulfill the purpose to a significant extent.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> It did not fulfill the purpose at all.</p>
If it did not fulfill the purpose, what were the issues? What conclusions can be drawn for the work of your ECML training and consultancy team?	
Feedback on the cooperation with the host country/the local organiser (preparation of the event, support on site, overall communication etc.)	The cooperation with the local organisers was excellent in every respect. In the programme preparation stage we worked closely together to produce a high quality programme which met participants’ needs. The high professional competence of our colleagues at Sarajevo University ensured a very harmonious and highly coherent event. The local organisers went to great lengths to ensure that representatives from schools and teacher education institutions from all parts of BiH as well as the Republika Srpska attended the event. Moreover, participants comprised a variety of target groups: teacher educators, teachers, mentors and students. The contributions of local presenters – teacher educators, teachers and students - were of a uniformly high quality. The on-site organisation was highly efficient and perfect in its implementation.
What did you learn from the responses given to the feedback questionnaire by the participants? Were there concerns raised? What are the conclusions (if applicable)?	The feedback was completely positive. One quotation from a participant: “This event was highly beneficial for my future professional development. It has opened a new world for me and one day I hope I will be able to contribute in spreading the EPOSTL in my country.”
Feedback, questions, and requests and/or any further comments that	We are very grateful for the support of the ECML at every stage of the event. Preparatory documentation was helpful; a large

you may wish to offer the ECML secretariat

amount of materials were sent to Sarajevo, without which the event would not have been so successful. Allowing for a preparatory meeting on the day before the event was very useful. We are particularly grateful that the ECML financed a third moderator, which broadened the experiences we were able to offer and was very helpful in coping with this large event.